



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Building capacity for rigorous controlled trials in autism: the importance of measuring treatment adherence

Citation for published version:

McConachie, H, Fletcher-Watson, S & Group 4, W 2015, 'Building capacity for rigorous controlled trials in autism: the importance of measuring treatment adherence', *Child: Care, Health and Development*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 169–177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12185>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/cch.12185](https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12185)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Child: Care, Health and Development

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Building capacity for rigorous controlled trials in autism: the importance of measuring treatment adherence

H. McConachie,* S. Fletcher-Watson† and Working Group 4, COST Action 'Enhancing the Scientific Study of Early Autism'‡

*Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, and

†School of Education, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Accepted for publication 18 July 2014

Keywords

adherence, autism, early intervention, parent–child interaction

Correspondence:

Helen McConachie,
Institute of Health and
Society, Newcastle
University, Sir James
Spence Institute 3rd floor,
Royal Victoria Infirmary,
Newcastle upon Tyne
NE1 4LP, UK
E-mail:
h.r.mcconachie@ncl.ac.uk

‡The work group also includes: Petra Warreyn (Belgium), Anett Kaale (Norway), Bernadette Rogé and Frederique Bonnet-Brilhaut (France), Iris Oosterling (the Netherlands), Selda Ozdemir (Turkey), Antonio Narzisi and Filippo Muraatori (Italy), Joaquin Fuentes (Spain), Mikael Heimann (Sweden), Michele Noterdaeme, Christine Freitag, Luise Poustka and Judith Sinzig (Germany), Tony Charman, Erica Salomone and Jonathan Green (the UK).

Abstract

Research groups across Europe have been networking to share information and ideas about research on preschool children with autism. The paper describes preliminary work to develop capacity for future multi-site randomized controlled trials of early intervention, with a specific focus on the need to measure treatment adherence where parents deliver therapy. The paper includes a review of randomized and controlled studies of parent-mediated early intervention from two sources, a recent Cochrane Collaboration review and a mapping of European early intervention studies in autism published since 2002. The data extracted focused on methods for describing parent adherence, that is, how and to what extent parents carry out the strategies taught them by therapists. Less than half of the 32 studies reviewed included any measure of parent adherence. Only seven included a direct assessment method. The challenges of developing pan-European early intervention evaluation studies are discussed, including choice of intervention model and of important outcomes, the need for translation of measurement tools and achievement of joint training to reliability of assessors. Measurement of parent–child interaction style and of adherence to strategies taught need further study.

Introduction

This is an exciting time in early autism research. A wide number of scientific methodologies are now being applied to answer fundamental questions about autism, including studying special infant populations such as younger siblings of children with autism; novel neurophysiological and neuroimaging techniques; and development and testing of screening instruments and interventions. Research groups across Europe, brought together by a European Co-operation in Science and Technology (COST) Action 'Enhancing the Scientific Study of Early Autism' (ESSEA),¹ have been sharing information and ideas about research on preschool children with autism (for a description see Bolte *et al.* 2013; Garcia-Primo *et al.* 2014). The aim is to enhance synergy between these strands of basic and applied research, so as to enable developments in clinical practice and policy informed by a rigorous evidence base. The group thereby hopes to contribute to significant improvement of quality of life for children with autism and their families. This paper focuses on one of the Action's work group topics, testing early intervention approaches in autism through rigorous controlled trials. The group has engaged in a number of collaborative endeavours necessary to enable future European multi-site trials. We consider some examples of activities of the group and focus on one in particular: a review of adherence measurement in parent-mediated intervention studies and a consideration of best practice in this aspect of trial management.

Early intervention: the quality of the evidence

The number of well-designed evaluation studies being published has burgeoned recently. For example, a Cochrane Collaboration review of parent-mediated early intervention trials published in 2002 found two randomized controlled trials (RCTs), whereas an update in 2013 reviewed 17 (Diggle & McConachie 2002; Oono *et al.* 2013). Magiati and colleagues (2012) identified 15 meta-analyses and/or reviews published in peer-reviewed journals between 2005 and 2012 concerning early comprehensive behaviourally based intervention.

However, most (perhaps all) reviews comment on the mixed quality of the evidence. In the case of RCTs and quasi-RCTs the common flaws include small numbers of participants, risk of bias from attrition and selective reporting, and such varied outcome measures that interpretation of findings is difficult. This has implications for policy and practice; for example, the issues concerning interpretation of the evidence limited the

conclusions of the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence published guidance on management of autism in children and young people (CG170; 2013) and of similar guidelines across Europe (e.g. for Spain, Fuentes-Biggi *et al.* 2006; for Italy, ISS 2011).

Activities of the COST ESSEA work group on intervention

The difficulties in conducting rigorous controlled trials determined the agenda for the early intervention work group. The eventual goal would be to facilitate multi-site trials in order to enable recruitment of substantial samples and provide high-quality evidence of effective intervention, which requires detailed groundwork. There are significant obstacles including national and regional differences in assessment tools available, diagnostic practices, quality and quantity of standard treatment and services for young children, and of course language barriers.

The first task was to map published studies since 2002 carried out in Europe. An updated summary is held on the COST ESSEA website.² The mapping exercise indicated that around half of the studies had evaluated interventions that have a focus on reciprocity between adult (parent/teacher/therapist) and child, including strategies to enhance joint attention and reciprocal communication. Therefore the group has paid particular attention to exploring the strengths and weaknesses of approaches to *measurement of observed adult-child interaction*. These vary widely, tending to have been developed within individual studies, and including both overall ratings (e.g. parent sensitivity) and frequency counts of behaviour (e.g. child initiations). A conceptual paper on measurement of adult-child interaction is in preparation.

A second piece of work arose from the realization that there was no consistency across studies in the ways used to describe and summarize quantitatively the *other treatments and services* being accessed by children and families. It is important to know what children have been receiving, as background to interpreting any observed difference in progress between intervention and control groups. This has led to a Europe-wide survey of parents of young children with autism (E. Salomone *et al.*, unpublished). The development of the survey tool has created a model questionnaire that can be used in future studies across Europe.

A third focus has been on the possibility of harmonizing the *choice of outcome measures*. An initial count in 17 European

¹ <http://www.cost-essea.com/>

² <http://www.cost-essea.com/wg4.html>

studies revealed 83 different tools to measure outcomes, with very variable evidence of sensitivity to change. A detailed consideration of the strengths and weaknesses of such tools has been commissioned in the UK by the National Institute for Health Research³ which will inform the work in 2014. The work group has also considered a number of ways of circumventing issues of language differences by focusing on measurement of clinically relevant change. For example, an expert panel can use all available data from a study site to make a rating for each participant using the Clinical Global Impressions of Improvement (National Institute of Mental Health 1985). Alternatively, different tools can be considered in terms of the numbers of participants showing clinically relevant change and/or reliable change (Jacobson & Truax 1991). In Italy, Muratori and colleagues (2014) have demonstrated the potential of a standard set of tools in charting progress in children receiving a range of local interventions. The various strands of discussion and enquiry will come together in recommendations of an initial core battery of tools to be adopted in future European intervention trials in autism.

Measuring adherence

The final piece of work focuses on the issue of 'parent adherence'. Treatment fidelity, or adherence to treatment implementation, can be shown to play a key role in interpretation of the findings of intervention studies (McArthur *et al.* 2012; Mandell *et al.* 2013). Treatment adherence can have direct effects on outcome, for example because a larger or higher quality 'dose' of treatment may relate to larger outcome gains (Rogers & Vismara 2008). Monitoring treatment fidelity can improve reliability of results, help determine whether the theory-based intervention approach is responsible for the observed changes in outcome, and explore what 'dosage' of intervention is optimal.

Issues affecting fidelity can be identified at a number of conceptual stages, starting with intervention design, the training of therapists, how they deliver the intervention, and so on (Bellg *et al.* 2004; Spillane *et al.* 2007). In the case of young children with autism, the intervention often involves non-professional delivery, i.e. parents trained by therapists. At each stage adherence to the intended content and quantity of the intervention should be monitored: trainer adherence while training therapists; therapist adherence while teaching parents; parent adherence while working with their children. In addition, this last component can be subdivided into parents' learning of the techniques and strategies of the approach (described as *treat-*

ment receipt), and their *enactment* of the approach (i.e. the extent to which they actually carry out the intervention to the intended 'dosage').

There are good examples from the literature of steps taken to ensure therapist adherence when early interventions in autism are directly delivered by therapists. For example, Begeer and colleagues (2011) state that '*a random 10% sample of therapy sessions was videotaped for content review and intervention adherence. Therapists received ongoing clinical supervision and training throughout the study*' (p. 1000). Likewise Landa and colleagues (2011) report that '*Interventionists were videotaped on average twice during each intervention session and were blind as to whether videotaping was being conducted for purposes of coding children's behavior or fidelity*' (p. 16).

However, as we will demonstrate, such examples are harder to find in the literature on parent-mediated interventions for autism. We suggest that this is due to differences between therapist-led and parent-mediated intervention. First, it is easier and more appropriate to secure consent from therapists for monitoring of their intervention practice in clinic than it is to do this with parents in a research study. Second, parents may deliver training naturalistically across the day at home, rather than in a specific session. These factors of timing and location, while they are strengths of using a parent-mediated approach, can lead to a reliance on parent report measures of fidelity.

Parent adherence

At the level of parent adherence, the published evidence does suggest that on average parents can be taught effectively to use a range of different intervention strategies with their children who have autism. A number of studies report measures of parent-child interaction (e.g. McConachie *et al.* 2005; Kasari *et al.* 2010; Venker *et al.* 2011; Siller *et al.* 2013) where the focus is on the quality of the interaction, although such measures may also document parent use of particular strategies. There can be a rather fine distinction between 'parent-child interaction' and 'parent adherence'. For example, Rogers and colleagues (2012) report the use of the 'Early Start Denver Model Parent Fidelity Tool' which, despite its title, involves parents in both the intervention and the control groups being asked to 'play as you typically do at home', and the measure is then used in analysis to examine whether change in parents' skills was reflected in change in child skills. Other studies more directly employ parent-child interaction samples to assess change in parent skills at outcome (e.g. McConachie *et al.* 2005; Oosterling *et al.* 2010).

However, we do not generally know whether and how often parents actually use the strategies and techniques with their

³ <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/112203>

child. Reviews of early intervention studies conclude that the time spent and quality of parent-mediated delivery of intervention strategies to their children is typically not reported (Schertz *et al.* 2012; Oono *et al.* 2013).

The current report takes the opportunity provided by the COST ESSEA work group activities and the recent Cochrane Collaboration systematic review of RCTs of parent-mediated early intervention in autism spectrum disorder (Oono *et al.* 2013) to explore how parent adherence has been measured, both in studies within Europe and worldwide. We present in Table 1 a summary of parent adherence in relation to these two sources of studies: the aforementioned systematic review (Oono *et al.* 2013) with an additional six studies identified since publication (to end September 2013); and the parent-mediated early interventions from the COST ESSEA mapping of published European studies described above, including mixed controlled group designs (searches to end June 2014).

Of the 33 studies represented in Table 1, 19 did not report recording parental adherence in any way. Six studies asked parents to report on hours of delivery of intervention techniques, usually weekly (Remington *et al.* 2007; Hayward *et al.* 2009; Dawson *et al.* 2010; Wong & Kwan 2010; Pajareya & Nopmaneejumruslers 2011; Schertz *et al.* 2013), and these included joint attention and reciprocity interventions as well as highly structured approaches such as Early Intensive Behavioural Intervention. Two studies included knowledge tests for parents (Nefdt *et al.* 2010; Reitzel *et al.* 2013). One joint attention intervention study (Kasari *et al.* 2010) developed a questionnaire given to parents weekly, to self-report on adherence and how competent they felt. Finally, seven studies using a range of intervention models included researcher coding of how closely parents were carrying out the strategies of the intervention model (Hayward *et al.* 2009; Nefdt *et al.* 2010; Fava *et al.* 2011; Strauss *et al.* 2012; Welterlin *et al.* 2012; Casenhiser *et al.* 2013; Kaiser & Roberts 2013); six of these were from video and one by home observation of the parent teaching the child. Only Casenhiser and colleagues (2013) and Strauss and colleagues (2012) used these data in analysis to demonstrate a link between parent behaviour change and child behaviour change.

In the case of joint attention or reciprocity interventions, direct monitoring by researchers at planned times is inappropriate as the expectation is that parents will implement strategies opportunistically and flexibly, and specific goals may not be set. However, with video recording becoming more 'mainstream', parents themselves may be able in future to arrange to record examples of enactment of strategies in the home setting.

The summary indicates that monitoring of parental adherence is relatively rare in autism treatment studies, but also

shows that it is possible to measure this critical variable using a number of different methods, particularly for more structured intervention approaches. Even for reciprocity-focused intervention, parents appear able to self-report on times of implementing strategies, and confidence in their own skills. The possible ways of measuring parental adherence should inform design and planning of future studies, including how adherence interacts with other mediating or moderating variables such as child and parent characteristics. The autism intervention literature may benefit from reference to models of fidelity measurement being derived in other healthcare settings (Bellg *et al.* 2004).

Discussion

Increased and earlier recognition of autism has increased demand for diagnostic services and interventions. Current healthcare systems internationally are very uneven in terms of their expertise and capacity to support families with young children with autism, often leading to marginalization from society where services are lacking. Within those countries with more readily available services for young children with autism, there is a varied history of the intervention models most usually followed by clinical professionals.

For potential future joint research into evaluation of early intervention across Europe, there are many wide-ranging challenges. These include choice of intervention model, choice of important outcomes, the need for translation of tools for measurement, cultural differences in evaluation of appropriate patterns of parent-child interaction, and how to achieve joint training to reliability of measurement in varied languages. We can now add to this list the need to monitor parent adherence in parent-delivered interventions. The need to strengthen the design and reporting of psychological and social interventions through appropriate guidelines is well recognized (Mayo-Wilson *et al.* 2013).

In relation to treatment fidelity, the summary in this paper has signposted examples of ways to record time and quality of parent implementation of strategies, depending on the philosophy of the intervention model. Unfortunately these measures of adherence have rarely been related directly to outcomes, nor are they reported in sufficient detail for an accurate evaluation of their methodological quality. Moreover, so few studies report on parent treatment fidelity we cannot yet begin to address more detailed questions of interest, such as whether parent self-report of confidence or tests of knowledge of intervention strategies are adequate proxies for direct assessment of use of those strategies in real life.

Table 1. Early intervention studies, with notes on measurement of parent adherence

Identifier	Method and intervention	Adherence measures
Aldred <i>et al.</i> 2004	RCT: social communication intervention. Parent training vs. TAU	Parents were asked to spend 30 min daily alone with their child at home to practise strategies. No measure of parent adherence
Carter <i>et al.</i> 2011	RCT: group parent training using Hanen 'More Than Words' programme. Parent training vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Casenhiser <i>et al.</i> 2013	RCT: intervention based on Developmental Individualized Relationships (DIR) model. The programme aims to improve children's social interaction and communication abilities. Parent training vs. TAU	Parents were asked to spend at least 3 h per day interacting with their child. Video scored for fidelity of implementation of techniques (7 items)
Dawson <i>et al.</i> 2010	RCT: Early Start Denver Model, a developmental, relationship-based intervention which also includes behavioural techniques. Parent and therapist delivery vs. TAU	Number of hours of parent-reported use of techniques
Drew <i>et al.</i> 2002	RCT: social communication intervention. Parent training via home visits vs. TAU	Therapist and parent set activities for coming 6-week period with time per activity, but no adherence recorded
Fava <i>et al.</i> 2011	CT: Early intensive behavioural intervention, therapist- and parent-delivered, including incidental teaching and natural environment teaching vs. eclectic intervention	Treatment fidelity was rated by two independent raters based on video sessions of parents working with their child. Raters used a checklist from Hayward and colleagues (2009) which specifies treatment skills and applications in four domains: data collection (3 items), facilitated play (8 items), discrete trial teaching with mastered skills (11 items), and discrimination training and introduction of new teaching objectives and new programmes (5 items)
Freitag <i>et al.</i> 2012	Pilot: Frankfurt Early Intervention Program (comparison group data collection in process)	No measure of parent adherence
Green <i>et al.</i> 2010	RCT: social communication and reciprocity intervention. Parent training vs. TAU	Families were also asked to do 30 min of daily home practice. No measure of parent adherence taken
Hayward <i>et al.</i> 2009	CT: clinic-based early intensive behavioural intervention (EIBI) vs. home (parent) EIBI	Sample of videotapes of 15 min standardized protocol assessed by independent practitioner Number of treatment hours per week for each child was measured by recording the start and end times of tutored sessions, parent sessions, shadowed time in school, team meetings and/or workshops
Jocelyn <i>et al.</i> 1998	RCT: informational intervention for parents and daycare staff vs. daycare attendance	No measure of parent adherence
Kaiser and Roberts 2013	RCT: enhanced milieu teaching (EMT) by parents and teachers vs. by teachers alone	Parents' use of EMT strategies was coded during home visits where parents conducted trained and untrained play activities with their child, by an observer using the Milieu Teaching Project KidTalk Code. It has 4 variables: % child utterances to which parent responded; % parent utterances that contained a child language target; % child utterances which parent expanded; % prompting episodes that were delivered in response to a child request
Kasari <i>et al.</i> 2010	RCT: Joint attention intervention vs. TAU	Parents report 6 items on adherence and competence at each session
McConachie <i>et al.</i> 2005	CT: group parent training using Hanen 'More Than Words' programme. Parent training vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
McConkey <i>et al.</i> 2010	CT: parent training via therapist home visits using Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication handicapped Children (TEACCH), Hanen and Picture Exchange Communication System vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Nefdt <i>et al.</i> 2010	RCT: self-training DVD and manual for pivotal response treatment to teach first words to children vs. TAU	Tests within training. Videos scored by researchers for fidelity of implementation techniques and parent confidence
Oosterling <i>et al.</i> 2010	Quasi-RCT: social communication intervention by home-based parent training vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence

Table 1. *Continued*

Identifier	Method and intervention	Adherence measures
Pajareya and Nopmaneejumruslers 2011	RCT: parent training in Developmental Individualized Relationships (DIR) model vs. TAU	Number of hours of intervention delivered reported by parents in a weekly log
Reitzel <i>et al.</i> 2013	RCT: Functional Behaviour Skills Training groups for children with parent training vs. TAU	Questionnaire to test parent knowledge of applied behaviour analysis
Remington <i>et al.</i> 2007	CT: therapist- and parent-delivered early intensive behavioural intervention vs. TAU	Parent report estimate of hours per week of therapy
Rickards <i>et al.</i> 2007	RCT: weekly home-based advice and training to parents by staff member from centre-based programme attended by child vs. centre-based programme only	No measure of parent adherence
Roberts <i>et al.</i> 2011	RCT: home-based programme with parent training in behaviour management, functional communication skills, extending play skills etc., vs. centre-based programme vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Rogers <i>et al.</i> 2012	RCT: low-intensity Early Start Denver Model, parent training vs. TAU	Parent adherence not measured (note that ESDM Parent Fidelity Tool utilized as a measure of outcome and mediation)
Salt <i>et al.</i> 2002	CT: social-developmental approach, centre-based group attended by child with additional parent training vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Schertz <i>et al.</i> 2013	RCT: joint attention mediated learning, home-based training of parents vs. TAU	Parent recorded log of activities with child, and time spent
Siller <i>et al.</i> 2013	RCT: Focused Playtime intervention, parent training vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Silva <i>et al.</i> 2009	RCT: parents trained in qigong massage vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Smith <i>et al.</i> 2000	RCT: early intensive behavioural intervention delivered by therapists vs. by parents	No measure of parent adherence
Strauss <i>et al.</i> 2012	CT: early intensive behavioural intervention, therapist- and parent-delivered, including incidental teaching and natural environment teaching vs. eclectic intervention	Parent adherence measured by two independent ratings of parent therapy filmed at home (see Fava <i>et al.</i> 2011 above). Amount and difficulty of behaviour targets recorded
Tonge <i>et al.</i> 2006	RCT: group parent training in behaviour management vs. group parent education vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Venker <i>et al.</i> 2011	RCT: group parent training using shortened Hanen 'More Than Words' programme. Parent training vs. TAU	No measure of parent adherence
Welterlin <i>et al.</i> 2012	RCT: TEACCH intervention, parent training vs. TAU	Monthly videotaping of 5 min of parents teaching their child at home with materials provided. Percentage of 10-s intervals that parent and child engaged in targeted behaviours. Parent prompts and set-up behaviour coded
Wong and Kwan 2010	RCT: social communication intervention, parent training vs. TAU	Parent daily record of training activities
Zachor and Itzchak 2010	CT: therapist early intensive behavioural intervention with parent training vs. professional eclectic plus parent involvement in the home	No measure of parent adherence

RCT, randomized controlled trial; CT, controlled trial; TAU, treatment/services as usual.

A further important challenge for early intervention research is to begin to identify parent or family characteristics that may link to ability to implement the intervention, so that parents who are likely to struggle can have additional support. In addition to factors such as accessibility, number of other children and lack of parent education, such characteristics may include whether parents have elements of the Broader Autism Phenotype, likely to reduce flexibility of response (J. Parr *et al.* unpublished), and conversely parental insightfulness which has been shown to enhance ability to deliver intervention (Siller *et al.* 2013). There may also be cultural and national differences in

parenting which have an impact on intervention delivery. Individualizing approaches to intervention is an important goal for early intervention practitioners.

Future studies need to incorporate multiple measures of fidelity in order to establish which provide an appropriate balance of participant burden against accuracy. In developing these measures the parent-mediated intervention literature can draw on studies of therapist-led intervention for models. This process of monitoring all steps in fidelity adds further complexity to the study of early autism intervention; large numbers of participants are required in order to be able to tease out multiple interacting

effects. This requirement therefore validates the work of the COST ESSEA network in building capacity for international multi-site trials across European research and clinical sites.

The ESSEA COST Action is enabling European clinical scientists to identify some of the aspects of intervention approaches that have delivered a promising evidence-base. The intention is that this groundwork will lead on to the conduct of trials of intervention programmes across different countries to enhance the power of the evidence base, and also to explore unique and common factors. In the longer term, such a European network might emulate the Autism Treatment Network⁴ which includes 17 children's hospitals and academic medical centres in the USA and Canada, with core funding support from Autism Speaks. It aims to improve health and healthcare for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders through research and evidence-based practice. The existence of the network and the large pool of children and families receiving services facilitates multi-site trials, with external research grants including from the US Federal Health Resources and Services Administration. Given the importance of testing the effectiveness of current and emerging treatments for young children with autism, and the need to demonstrate that these can be delivered in communities across Europe, there is a need to identify pan-European funding mechanisms to undertake this work, even in the current financial climate.

Key messages

- A number of research groups are active in evaluation of early intervention in autism.
- The predominant models are early intensive behavioural intervention, and reciprocity-focused intervention often involving parents.
- A European network is working towards enhancement of methods for the scientific study of intervention, including how to measure usual services received, and parent-child interaction.
- A review of studies revealed limited methods for measurement of parent adherence to the strategies taught by therapists.
- Multi-site trials of early intervention in autism across Europe are possible, but with many methodological challenges to be solved.

Funding

The work of Helen McConachie and Sue Fletcher-Watson was supported by the European Co-operation in Science and Technology (COST) Action BM1004 Enhancing the Scientific Study of Early Autism (ESSEA).

References

- Aldred, C., Green, J. & Adams, C. (2004) A new social communication intervention for children with autism: pilot randomized controlled treatment study suggesting effectiveness. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **45**, 1420–1430.
- Begeer, S., Gevers, C., Clifford, P., Verhoeve, M., Kat, K., Hoddenbach, E. & Boer, F. (2011) Theory of mind training in children with autism: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, **41**, 997–1006.
- Bellg, A. J., Borrelli, B., Resnick, B., Hecht, J., Minicucci, D. S., Ory, M., Ogedegbe, G., Orwig, D., Ernst, D. & Czajkowski, S. (2004) Enhancing treatment fidelity in health behavior change studies: best practices and recommendations from the NIH Behavior Change Consortium. *Health Psychology*, **23**, 443–451.
- Bolte, S., Marschik, P., Falck-Ytter, T., Charman, T., Roeyers, H. & Elsabbagh, M. (2013) Infants at risk for autism: a European perspective on current status, challenges and opportunities. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **22**, 341–348.
- Carter, A. S., Messinger, D. S., Stone, W. L., Celimli, S., Nahmias, A. S. & Yoder, P. (2011) A randomised controlled trial of Hanen's 'More Than Words' in toddlers with early autism symptoms. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **52**, 741–752.
- Casenhiser, D. M., Shanker, S. G. & Stieben, J. (2013) Learning through interaction in children with autism: preliminary data from a social-communication-based intervention. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, **17**, 220–241.
- Dawson, G., Rogers, S., Munson, J., Smith, M., Winter, J., Greenson, J., Donaldson, A. & Varley, J. (2010) Randomized controlled trial of an intervention for toddlers with autism: the Early Start Denver Model. *Pediatrics*, **125**, e17–23.
- Diggle, T. & McConachie, H. (2002) Parent-mediated early intervention for young children with autism spectrum disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2002*, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD003496. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003496.
- Drew, A., Baird, G., Baron-Cohen, S., Cox, A., Slonims, V., Wheelwright, S., Swettenham, J., Berry, B. & Charman, T. (2002) A pilot randomized control trial of a parent training intervention for pre-school children with autism: preliminary findings and methodological challenges. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **11**, 266–272.
- Fava, L., Strauss, K., Valeri, G., D'Elia, L., Arima, S. & Vicari, S. L. (2011) The effectiveness of a cross-setting complementary staff- and parent-mediated early intensive behavioral intervention for young children with ASD. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, **5**, 1479–1492.

⁴ <http://www.autismspeaks.org/science/autism-treatment-network>

- Freitag, C. M., Feineis-Matthews, S., Valerian, J., Teufel, K. & Wilker, C. (2012) The Frankfurt early intervention program FIP for preschool aged children with autism spectrum disorder: a pilot study. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, **119**, 1011–1021.
- Fuentes-Biggi, J., Ferrari-Arroyo, M. J., Boada-Muñoz, L., Touriño-Aguilera, E., Artigas-Pallarés, J., Belinchón-Carmona, M., Muñoz-Yunta, J. A., Hervás-Zúñiga, A., Canal-Bedia, R., Hernández, J. M., Díez-Cuervo, A., Idiazábal-Alecha, M. A., Mulas, F., Palacios, S., Tamarit, J., Martos-Pérez, J. & Posada-De la Paz, J. (2006) Guía de buena práctica para el tratamiento de los trastornos del espectro autista. *Revista de Neurología*, **43**, 425–438.
- García-Primo, P., Hellendoorn, A., Charman, T., Roeyers, H., Dereu, M., Roge, B., Baduel, S., Muratori, F., Narzisi, A., Van Daalen, E., Moilanen, I., Posada de la Paz, M. & Canal-Bedia, R. (2014) Screening for autism spectrum disorders: state of the art in Europe. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0555-6
- Green, J., Charman, T., McConachie, H., Aldred, C., Slonims, V., Howlin, P., Le Couteur, A., Leadbitter, K., Hudry, K., Byford, S., Barrett, B., Temple, K., MacDonald, W., Pickles, A. & the PACT Consortium (2010) Parent-mediated communication-focused treatment for preschool children with autism (MRC PACT): a randomized controlled trial. *The Lancet*, **375**, 2152–2160.
- Hayward, D., Eikeseth, S., Gale, C. & Morgan, S. (2009) Assessing progress during treatment for young children with autism receiving intensive behavioural interventions. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, **13**, 613–633.
- ISS (Istituto Superiore di Sanità) (2011) Linea Guida 21: il trattamento dei disturbi dello spettro autistico nei bambini e negli adolescenti. Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Available at: <http://www.snlg-iss.it> (last accessed 6 August 2014).
- Jacobson, N. S. & Truax, P. (1991) Clinical significance: a statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, **59**, 12–19.
- Jocelyn, L. J., Casiro, O. G., Beattie, D., Bow, J. & Kneisz, J. (1998) Treatment of children with autism: a randomised controlled trial to evaluate a caregiver-based intervention program in community day-care centres. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, **19**, 326–334.
- Kaiser, A. P. & Roberts, M. Y. (2013) Parent-implemented enhanced milieu teaching with preschool children who have intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, **56**, 295–309.
- Kasari, C., Gulsrud, A., Wong, C., Kwon, S. & Locke, J. (2010) Randomized controlled caregiver mediated joint engagement intervention for toddlers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, **40**, 1045–1056.
- Landa, R. J., Holman, K. C., O'Neill, A. H. & Stuart, E. A. (2011) Intervention targeting development of socially synchronous engagement in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **52**, 13–21.
- Magiati, I., Tay, X. W. & Howlin, P. (2012) Early comprehensive behaviorally based intervention for children with autism spectrum disorder: a summary of findings from recent reviews and meta-analyses. *Neuropsychiatry*, **2**, 543–570.
- Mandell, D. S., Stahmer, A. C., Shin, S., Xie, M., Reisinger, E. & Marcus, S. C. (2013) The role of treatment fidelity on outcomes during a randomized field trial of an autism intervention. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, **17**, 281–295.
- Mayo-Wilson, E., Grant, S., Hopewell, S., Macdonald, G., Moher, D. & Montgomery, P. (2013) Developing a reporting guideline for social and psychological intervention trials. *Trials*, **14**, 242.
- McArthur, B. A., Riosa, P. B. & Preyde, M. (2012) Review: Treatment fidelity in psychosocial intervention for children and adolescents with comorbid problems. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, **17**, 139–145.
- McConachie, H., Randle, V., Hammal, D. & Le Couteur, A. (2005) A controlled trial of a training programme for parents of children with suspected autism spectrum disorder. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, **147**, 335–340.
- McConkey, R., Truesdale-Kennedy, M., Crawford, H., McGreevy, E., Reavey, M. & Cassidy, A. (2010) Preschoolers with autism spectrum disorders: evaluating the impact of a home-based intervention to promote their communication. *Early Child Development and Care*, **180**, 299–315.
- Muratori, F., Narzisi, A. & IDIA group (2014) Exploratory study describing 6-months outcomes for young children with autism who receive treatment as usual (TAU) in Italy. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, **10**, 577–586.
- National Institute of Mental Health (1985) Clinical Global Impressions Scale. *Psychopharmacological Bulletin*, **21**, 839–843.
- Nefdt, N., Koegel, R., Singer, G. & Gerber, M. (2010) The use of a self-directed learning program to provide introductory training in pivotal response treatment to parents of children with autism. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, **12**, 23–32.
- Oono, I. P., Honey, E. J. & McConachie, H. (2013) Parent-mediated early intervention for young children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD009774. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009774.pub2
- Oosterling, I., Visser, J., Swinkels, S., Rommelse, N., Donders, R., Woudenberg, T., Roos, S., Jan van der Gaag, R. & Buitelaar, J. (2010) Randomized controlled trial of the Focus parent training for toddlers with autism: 1-year outcome. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, **40**, 1447–1458.
- Pajareya, K. & Nopmaneejumrulers, K. (2011) A pilot randomised controlled trial of DIR/Floortime™ parent training intervention for pre-school children with autistic spectrum disorders. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, **15**, 563–577.
- Reitzel, J., Summers, J., Lorb, B., Szatmari, P., Zwaigenbaum, L., Georgiades, S. & Duku, E. (2013) Pilot randomized controlled trial of a Functional Behavior Skills Training program for young children with autism spectrum disorder who have significant early learning skill impairments and their families. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, **7**, 1418–1432.
- Remington, B., Hastings, R. P., Kovshoff, H., degli Espinosa, F., Jahr, E., Brown, T., Alsford, P., Lemaic, M. & Ward, N. (2007) Early

- intensive behavioral intervention: outcomes for children with autism and their parents after two years. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 112, 418–438.
- Rickards, A. L., Walstab, J. E., Wright-Rossi, R. A., Simpson, J. & Reddihough, D. S. (2007) A randomised controlled trial of a home-based intervention program for children with autism and developmental delay. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 28, 308–316.
- Roberts, J., Williams, K., Carter, M., Evans, D., Parmenter, T., Silove, N., Clark, T. & Warren, A. (2011) A randomised controlled trial of two early intervention programs for young children with autism: centre-based with parent program and home-based. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 5, 1553–1566.
- Rogers, S. J. & Vismara, L. A. (2008) Evidence-based comprehensive treatments for early autism. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 37, 8–38.
- Rogers, S. J., Estes, A., Lord, C., Vismara, L. A., Winter, J., Fitzpatrick, A., Guo, M. & Dawson, G. (2012) Effects of a brief Early Start Denver Model (ESDM)-based parent intervention on toddlers at risk for autism spectrum disorders: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 51, 1052–1065.
- Salt, J., Shemilt, J., Sellars, V., Boyd, S., Coulson, T. & McCool, S. (2002) The Scottish centre for autism preschool treatment program II: the results of a controlled treatment outcome study. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 6, 33–46.
- Schertz, H. H., Reichow, B., Tan, P., Vaiouli, P. & Yildirim, E. (2012) Interventions for toddlers with autism spectrum disorders: an evaluation of research evidence. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 34, 166–189.
- Schertz, H. H., Odom, S. L., Baggett, K. M. & Sideris, J. H. (2013) Effects of joint attention mediated learning for toddlers with autism spectrum disorders: an initial randomized controlled study. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 28, 249–258.
- Siller, M., Hutman, T. & Sigman, M. (2013) A parent-mediated intervention to increase responsive parental behaviors and child communication in children with ASD: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43, 540–555.
- Silva, L. T. M., Schalock, M., Ayres, R., Bunse, C. & Budden, S. (2009) Qigong massage treatment for sensory and self-regulation problems in young children with autism: a randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 63, 423–432.
- Smith, T., Groen, A. D. & Wynn, J. W. (2000) Randomized trial of intensive early intervention for children with pervasive developmental disorder. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 105, 269–285.
- Spillane, V., Byrne, M. C., Byrne, M., Leathem, C. S., O'Malley, M. & Cupples, M. E. (2007) Monitoring treatment fidelity in a randomized controlled trial of a complex intervention. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 60, 343–352.
- Strauss, K., Vicari, S., Valeri, G., D'Elia, L., Arima, S. & Fava, L. (2012) Parent inclusion in early intensive behavioral intervention: the influence of parental stress, parent treatment fidelity and parent-mediated generalization of behavior targets on child outcomes. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 33, 688–703.
- Tonge, B., Brereton, A., Kiomall, M., Mackinnon, A., King, N. & Rinehart, N. (2006) Effects on parental mental health of an education and skills training program for parents of young children with autism: a randomised controlled trial. *American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 45, 561–569.
- Venker, C. E., McDuffie, A., Weismer, S. E. & Abbeduto, L. (2011) Increasing verbal responsiveness in parents of children with autism: a pilot study. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 16, 568–585.
- Welterlin, A., Turner-Brown, L. M., Harris, S., Mesobov, G. & Delmolino, L. (2012) The home TEACCH-ing program for toddlers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42, 1827–1835.
- Wong, V. C. N. & Kwan, Q. K. (2010) Randomized controlled trial for early intervention for autism: a pilot study of the Autism 1-2-3 Project. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40, 677–688.
- Zachor, D. A. & Itzhak, E. B. (2010) Treatment approach, autism severity and intervention outcomes in young children. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 4, 425–432.